Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park, Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005

Phone: (406) 841-2976 Email: shellysmith@mt.gov



SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,) Cause No. DC-18-379
Plaintiff,) Lake County District Court
-VS-) Montana Twentieth Judicial District
ROBERT EARL STAUDENMAYER,	DECISION
Defendant.	

On February 3, 2021, the Defendant was sentenced to a commitment to the Montana State Prison for ten (10) years, with none suspended, for the offense of Count I: Theft, a Felony, in violation of §45-6-301, MCA. The sentence was ordered run consecutive to all other current sentences including Lake County Cause Number DC-19-071. The Court ordered a parole restriction for the entire sentence. The Defendant was ordered to pay restitution of \$1,038,035.00, in addition to the fine and/or other financial obligations in Conditions, paragraph 13, of the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report. The Defendant was given credit of ninety (90) days for time served.

On May 20, 2021, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Crossroads Correctional Facility in Shelby, Montana, and was represented by Dan Biddulph, Defense Counsel. The State was not represented. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

June

DATED this 7th day of May, 2021.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Hon., Luke Berger Chairperson

Hon Jessica Fehr, Member

Hon. Dan Wilson, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this ______ day of ______, 2021, to:

Clerk of District Court - via email

Robert Earl Staudenmayer #2076968, Defendant (2)

Hon. James A. Manley - via email

Dan Biddulph, Defense Counsel - via email

Steven Eschenbacher, Esq. - via email

Board of Pardons and Parole - via email

MSP - Records Dept. - via email

Shelly Smith, Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division